

***Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary***

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/016,058	HUI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Krisanne Jastrzab	1744	

All Participants:

Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Krisanne Jastrzab.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Andrew Farmer.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 18 February 2005

Time: \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

1, 25

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

**Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner contacted Mr. Farmer in order to put the case in condition for allowance which required clarification in the claims of the non-catalytic activity of the chemical substance. The Examiner indicated that she would insert "non-catalytically" after the first recitation of "chemical substance" in both claims 1 and 25 via Examiner's amendment. See attached..